

## **This world is detestable, some of his critics equally!**

Facing the fact that technology dispossesses the man of his freedom by making it slave of this one, repeating the myth of the good savage (the man happy and free in a state of nature), some flattering nature, dressed of all the virtues. They feign to ignore hardness, violence, disasters, the deadly, the predation, ... of this primitive nature. confronted to this Moloch which is capitalist society with totalitarian aspects, suffocating, inhuman, to a life without joy, they preach and fantasize a return to a simple life called natural, happy and without technology. They forget that the lack of knowledge about what is the psyche of a primitive deprives them to know about his person, his psychology, his "being". They forget equally that all speech is developed through the prism of his episteme. From there, the modern man is not confronted with the same reality (and presumably to the same judgment and ratio towards nature) that the primitive man.

If the critical of the technological, political, economical, ethical, ideological choices etc., Is justified, must we do it on the tendentious arguments of the past invented or rebuilt? Take care not to mislead us!

Of all the currents that favor the return to nature, my article will analyze statements of Theodore Kaczynski, said Unabomber, because of ignorance, naivety or manipulation, some who claim anarchists, are promoting it, although his speech is full of references that are - your choice - Nazi or fascist : anti-progressivism, eugenism (desire to prevent the degeneration of the "race"! ), minority leader, innatism (as if there were a gene of behaviors and of inequalities), absolute determinism of social and biological structures rejection of the consciousness or of the ideology as instituent imaginary, claim that social justice installs despotism, specious use of naturalism and history, doublespeak to manipulate the masses, etc...

This is not totally new I do not believe useless to recall that many precursors and inspirers of Nazism and fascism called themselves naturalists, environmentalists ... I read the translation of the Encyclopedia of Nuisances of the book of Kaczynski on "Industrial Society and its Future") as well as the translation of J.M. Apostolidès of the same book. The latter translates "leftist" regarding the encyclopedia says "progressive." According to the translator, the criticism relates therefore leftists or progressives, which however, is not quite the same thing. In Europe, the "progressivism" incorporates part of the right, left and extreme, anarchism, leftism, the extreme left and anarchists. These categorizations, that we do not necessarily share, may be arbitrary, but common. We understand the impact of these terms, I encourage the dual use of these words.

For a reading more clear the numbers in parentheses refer to verses of the translation of the Encyclopedia of Nuisances (*italic, indented*), my answers follow.

“(6) One of the most widespread manifestations of the craziness of our world is leftism. (10)... a whole spectrum of related traits; low self-esteem, feelings of powerlessness, depressive tendencies, defeatism, guilt, self-hatred, etc . (15)... hate anything that has an image of being

strong, good and successful. They hate America, they hate Western civilization. (16)... “self-confidence”, “self-reliance”, “initiative”, “enterprise”, “optimism”, etc., ... is antiindividualistic, pro-collectivist. ... is antagonistic to the concept of competition because, deep inside, he feels like a loser. (18)... tend to dismiss reason, science, objective reality ... This also underlies the rejection ... of the concept of mental illness and of the utility of IQ tests. Leftists are antagonistic to genetic explanations of human abilities or behavior because such explanations tend to make some persons appear superior or inferior to others. ... Thus if a person is “inferior” it is not his fault, but society’s, because he has not been brought up properly.“

A such discourse constitute a bio-fascist theory. According to this one, social inequalities are natural ; hierarchies, relations of domination, etc., are the expression of the genotype! Kaczynski should deepen its knowledge in genetic and interest in phenotype and phenocopy : gene expression is sensitive to external action!

Practically all the biologists and geneticists rejects the bio-fascist vision. For them if some traits are genetically transmitted (innate, hereditary), the major skills, including those entering into cognitive function are species. Which implies that each species transmits to his individuals a same set of skills that are universal. Following phylogenesis and ontogenesis, species and individual are undivided. In addition some of its functions are potential: unstimulated or unused, they are silent or atrophied. Since genetics does not validate the thesis of the gene as the basis of inequality or behavior, the origin is to be sought in the social, education, ideology, sociology, psychology., Which provide many of the answers. how to explain If not, for example that following the social system, illiteracy and inequality are so different from one country to another? If the action and the will of men abut, writes Kaczynski, on the gene determinism, freedom would become impossible in social matters. In fact, if man is biology, it can not be reduced to this, humanity is beyond. Kaczynski naturalizes the culture, biologize its ideology, scientise his speech.

“(20)... the masochistic tendency of leftist ..., they intentionally provoke police... Self-hatred is a leftist trait. (229) He tends to be for gun control, for sex education and other psychologically “enlightened” educational methods, for social planning, for affirmative action, for multi- culturalism. He tends to identify with victims. He tends to be against competition and against violence... He is fond of using the common catch-phrases of the left, like “racism,” “sexism,” “homophobia,” “capitalism,” “imperialism,” “neocolonialism,” “genocide,” “progress and social justice,”... tendency to sympathize with the following movements: feminism, homos, minorities, disability, ... (214)... a movement that exalts nature and opposes technology must take a resolutely anti-leftist stance and must avoid all collaboration with leftists »

The ideology of Kaczynski clear for what it is : very reactionary and from extreme right.

“(214, below). The progressivism involves the administration of nature and of human life. That requires advanced technology, this is in contradiction with the wilderness, human freedom and the elimination of modern technology.”

Kaczynski therefore denies that human freedom would be also have an action on the nature and thus the environment through technologies. His only freedom would be to face with her bare arms to the natural predation. On the

contrary, I agree with this explanation that the material is passed through stages to living and Man. For him, the matter becomes consciousness and endows men of skills (understanding, technology, science, ideology, language, symbolism, culture). Man is an animal very particular, he lives in society. All that is changed in an historical process. Nature is this all: the world. It is not proper to take the part for the whole and a historical part as genesis or eschatology.

"(95) ... Indian monarchies from New England, many cities of the Italian Renaissance were dictatorships. By lack of control, individual freedom was greater. "

Now here is the theory of freedom dispossession by the growth of technology. According to his one, before, we were more free. The slave and the serf, for lack of control, have relatively escaped from tyranny! One wonders how and why these systems could then have been sustained without effective social control and well adapted to their age. In contrast, the control by sophisticated technology has failed face certain groups. The return to human resources to ensure the control is Acte. Thus, the critical of surveillance cameras is made on the fact that human surveillance is more reliable. As mayors, teachers claiming now police officers and supervisors. Autocracies or plutocrats of the past knew perfectly repress or control the population. Furthermore Kaczynski doesn't have a social historical and cultural view on freedom. existential Frames of primitives, antique, Middle Ages and modern contextualize the signifier freedom. Freedom (individual, of the child, woman, slave, the proletariat, citizens etc..) And even happiness have meaning or existence in and by a cultural given. For my part, I'm in no hurry to return to times praised by Kaczynski, I'm not sure that current primitivisms would take there a long time ...

(122) ... even if medical progress was independent of the technological system, it would produce its ills. Palliative treatment of genetic diseases, prevent natural selection to eliminate holders. They reproduce and install a genetic degradation of the population. It will remain as solid solution, eugenics or genetic therapy. (124) ... the only ethical protecting freedom is to prohibit genetic engineering.

The refusal of care and eugenics by the natural selection of "healthy" and "stronger" to strengthen the race and prevent it from degenerating, does not explain how a genetic difference would be a disadvantage. judging the social interest, human, or biological from a person from just one part of its genome is nonsense. The Nazis, too, evoked natural selection of the fittest, the elimination of the weak and tared to preserve the purity of the breed.

(124, below). Progressivism involves the administration of nature and of human life that requires advanced technology, this is in contradiction with the wilderness, human freedom and the elimination of modern technology.

following Kaczynski any technology is liberticidal, therefore remains man in the state of nature, naked and free. But man, of course, used technologies for clothing and be more free!

"(165) ... plants shall be destroyed, technical books burned, etc.. "

Kaczynski to be free begins to ban.

"(181) ... develop and propagate an ideology antitechno and anti-industrial. Weaken and destabilize the system (182) ... as did the French and Russians revolutionaries, although fortunately they failed to set up their new society."

Kaczynski claims to be revolutionary, in fact it is a reactionary. He rejects the ideals of justice, liberty, equality, fraternity, democracy, socialism, communism (and thus, he rejects what constitutes anarchism).

"(183) ... we propose nature as a positive ideal, everything on earth that does not depend on human management and society. Wilderness including human nature. This part of the individual life which is not produced from social conditioning, but by chance or free will or God (depending on your beliefs). "

Naturalism of Kaczynski occult that it is the nature which made Man, his mind, his conscience, his knowledge, his culture, his technical ability. As the matter produces thought, nature produces culture and thereby society. It is by society that man has survived and lives, it is through it that it transmits, improves discovers, optimizes, creates, all which makes his humanity. Without society the man and humanity do not exist. You can not decry, disqualify he five elements which are nature, culture, man, human, society by opposing each other. Good, bad, right, etc., Are matters of morality, ethics, ideology.

"(186/7) This ideology will be developed on two levels. The version developed for people which are smart, reflective, rational, globalists, they have plenty of resources and influence others. A simplified version for the majority refractory to the reflection. (189) ... history is made by minorities. As for the majority, it is sufficient to make them aware that a new ideology exists and give to him frequently in memory. It is desirable to obtain the support of the majority, only if it does not weaken the revolutionaries. "

Authoritarian or dictatorial ideology divides society between the minority intelligent, educated, ruling, and the mass of ignorant, submissive, Veules etc.. Mass serves only support, because no system lasts, and the minority can not gain power without a support or in the mass. Once the new order installed by the agitation of the masses, the revolutionary minority will constitute the power. If the majority contests, we "remember the law", that is to say, the dictatorship of the minority over the majority. All this is as old as history.

"(190) ... draw a line between the ruling elite and the masses, not between the mass and the revolutionaries. Strategically not blame people, not condemn consumerism of Americans say they are victims of the pub etc. "

Conventional method of manipulation of opinion, which being supposed refractory to a profound reflection, can be maneuvered only by the frustration, the scapegoat, demagoguery, etc.. No question to say that we are more or less responsible in the sense of "free to fight".

("195) ... the agitation may lead to dictatorship instead of democracy but the difference is low given their industrialism. A dictatorship is more inefficient and fragile, would be even better: look at Cuba. "

We remember that before Kaczynski, pseudos anti-fascist explained that dictatorship is the extreme and final reaction of the decomposition of the

dominant fraction before his fall, in which case the position is rather conservative or reactionary. I would add that this is also the reaction of a fraction dominated aspiring to power, as we see with Kaczynski. There is not the old order which collapses, but the new order which settles, the posture is rather revolutionary. Subversive and manipulative discourse often uses a phraseology of revolution, revolt, good for all ... We must get to the heart, look for the ideology of this discourse. Speculate about the fragility and inefficiency of a dictatorship ignores the fact that some persist. This logic of "better" leads to endure, relativise, admit the worst, or even there jeopardize believing accelerate change. How many support the vote for the extreme right, although opposed to it, because it will, they believe, accelerate the explosion of the system. Twisting tactics have a hard life!

"(196) ... is possible to support the global economic unification (GATT, NAFTA), although harmful to the environment, they promote interdependence and the collapse of an advanced country leading to the fall of all. (200) ... technology is a unified system to destroy completely, use a part maintain the whole. We end up sacrificing some symbolic details. "

Even the "better" ; support globalization unified of technology under the aegis of liberalism! In fact antitechnologic purism becomes hyper-technology! We verify there the manipulations of Kaczynski and his supporters.

"(201) ... social justice for human nature will not be spontaneous, but (d) imposed. For this the revolutionary will retain a system and centralized control of technologies (communication, transportation, clothing, agriculture etc..). We have nothing against social justice, we must not allow it to interfere with the effort to get rid of the technology. "

In terms of social justice there is no nature or in itself, it comes to ideology, in the absence of ideological gene, social rules are purely conventional (cf. verse 18). Question: how revolutionaries will they escape to the determinism of their nature to defend social justice? Faithful to the principle of minority leaders, for Kaczynski the mass is not involved in the social and societal choices. This is a major difference with anarchism, and a denial of the failure of socialism from above (state socialism, Leninism, Trotskyism, Bolshevism, etc.).. Kaczynski is not for equality, democracy, justice, progressivism, this involves that technology and progressivism fought by Kaczynski as against nature.

"(204) ... revolutionaries should do as many children as they can. Social behaviors are largely hereditary. Nobody denies that social behavior is a direct result of genetics, but it is clear that in the present context, the behavior is most often determined by inherited traits. "

Yet the genetic determinism! To his detractors, Kaczynski meets even education, the fact is the inheritance. So smart, stupid, fascist, anarchist, violent, gentle, etc., We are from father to son! Everyone can verify this nonsense of line ideologico behavioral. Kaczynski ignores that genetic mixing between men and women based on chromosome pairing. Following the Vulgate of Kaczynski what happens when it comes of pairing two opposing traits:

soft / violent , anarchist / fascist? What trait takes over? I say none because these traits are educational, existential, ideological therefore social.

PS: Kaczynski defends science curiously his zealots are anti-science.

[1] Islamic Salvation Front (FIS)\_

[2] Armed Islamic Group, the armed wing of the FIS in Algeria in fact controlled by the French and Algerian secret services

Source :

<http://cnt.ait.caen.free.fr/forum/viewtopic.php?f=8&t=1268&p=48968#p45111>

<http://cnt.ait.caen.free.fr/forum/viewtopic.php?f=8&t=1268&p=48968#p48968>